## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

~ ~ //	1 /10		•			
Date: 5/1	Surveyo	r: JF/JI				
Tree details TPO Ref (if a Owner (if kno	pplicable):	Tree/ Locati	Group No: <b>T</b> ]	Species: Sw	edish Whitel	Ram
	REFER	TO GUIDANCE	NOTE FOR ALL D	EFINITIONS		
Part 1: Amenia	ty assessment & suitability for TPO;	where trees in §	good or fair cond	ition have poor	form, deduct 1 poin	t
(5) Good 3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Dead/dying/ * Relates to existing		be suitable		es removed	historially	
b) Retention s	span (in years) & suita	ability for TPO				
5) 100+ (4) 40-100 2) 20-40 1) 10-20	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable		Score & Notes			
	Unsuitable ich are an existing or near f trees of better quality	uture nuisance, inclu	Lading those <u>clearly</u> outg	rowing their context,	or which are significantly	negating the
,	blic visibility & suital potential for future visibility	•	use			
4) Large trees, of 3) Medium trees 2) Young, small,	es with some visibility, or or medium trees clearly v s, or large trees with limi or medium/large trees v ble to the public, regardle	isible to the public ted view only isible only with di		Highly suitable Suitable Suitable Barely suitable Probably unsuitab	Score & No Large true to voods ble	
d) Other factor	ors crued 7 or more points (with	n no zero score) to au	alify			
5) Principal com 4) Tree groups, o 3) Trees with ide 2) Trees of partic	aponents of arboricultura or members of groups im entifiable historic, commo cularly good form, especi ne of the above additiona	I features, or veter aportant for their o emorative or habit ially if rare or unus	ran trees cohesion at importance sual		tes L speuiwen	
	ency assessment crued 9 or more points to qu	ıalify				
5) Immediate the 3) Foreseeable the 2) Perceived thre 1) Precautionary	hreat to tree eat to tree		Score & No Evgumise Lavelogues	tes vegarding to t on simi	re removel ar lan aljarent y	ud Not to
Part 3: Decision	on guide		planning	•	<u> </u>	
Any 0 1-6 7-11 12-15	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible Definitely merits TPO	o _	Add Scores	for Total:	Decision:	

Page 31

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 5/11	/18 Surveyo	or: JF/JP	1		
Tree details TPO Ref (if ap Owner (if know		Tree/ Locati	Group No: <b>T2</b> on:	Species: <b>B22</b>	ch
	REFER	TO GUIDANCE	NOTE FOR ALL	DEFINITIONS	
Part 1: Amenity a) Condition &		where trees in	good or fair con	dition have poor	form, deduct 1 point
5) Good <b>3)</b> Fair 1) Poor 3) Dead/dying/d	angerous* Unsuitable	o be suitable	Possible vecon	it executions	of Beach book discose. issue. dose to true ossocial
	context and is intended to the context and intended to the context and is intended to the context and it is inte		mediable defects only	wich organi	est sub-station.
5) 100+ 6) 40-100 2) 20-40 1) 10-20	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable		Score & Note		
	Unsuitable h are an existing or near ees of better quality	future nuisance, inclu	ıding those <u>clearly</u> ou	itgrowing their context,	or which are significantly negating the
Onsider realistic por Very large trees Large trees, or Medium trees, Young, small, o	lic visibility & suita stential for future visibility, of swith some visibility, of medium trees clearly of or large trees with lim r medium/large trees e to the public, regardle	y with changed land or prominent large visible to the public ited view only visible only with di	trees	Highly suitable Suitable Suitable Barely suitable Probably unsuitab	Score & Notes Pronunct from all voods, were distant ared and adjacent l
) Principal comp ) Tree groups, or ) Trees with iden ) Trees of particu	s  ued 7 or more points (with conents of arboriculture members of groups in tifiable historic, commutarly good form, especies of the above addition	al features, or vete nportant for their nemorative or habit sially if rare or unu	ran trees cohesion cat importance sual	Score & No	tes
Part 2: Expedie	ncy assessment ued 9 or more points to q				
i) Immediate threi) Foreseeable threi Perceived threa ) Precautionary (	eat to tree reat to tree at to tree	<i>3.</i>	Score & N verrieval	iotes Planning and develop	enquinner regarding to word on adjoinent sum
Part 3: Decision	n guide				
Any 0 1-6 7-11 (2-1)	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible Definitely merits TP		Add Scor	es for Total:	Decision:

Page 32

#### SHRVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION CHIDE

	Date: 5/11/18 Surveyor: JF/JP	
Tree details  TPO Ref (if applicable):  Owner (if known):  Tree/Group No: T3  Species: Beeck  Location:		s: Beech

#### Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

Score & Notes Good form and condition. Tight union, but not problematic. 5) Good Highly suitable (3))Fair Suitable 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable 0) Dead/dying/dangerous\* Unsuitable

#### b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

5) 100+ 4)40-100	Highly suitable Very suitable		Sco	re & Notes			
2) 20-40	Suitable						
1) 10-20	Just suitable						
0) <10*	Unsuitable						
4.7 1 1	1 . 1	C				1	

<sup>\*</sup>Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

#### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

(5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable Score & Notes 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable acent roads and 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

#### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- (4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance

# 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)

#### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree
- (3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

#### Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0 1-6	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible	Add Scores for Total:	Decision:
7-11	Does not merit TPO	19	TPO
12-15	TPO defensible	''	
16+	Definitely merits TPO	D00	

Page 33

<sup>\*</sup> Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

	SURVEY DA	ГА ЅНЕЕТ 8	k DECISION	GUIDE		
Date: <b>5/1</b>	1/18 Surveyor: JF	/JP				
Tree details TPO Ref (if a Owner (if kn	applicable):	Tree/Group Location:	No: <b>T4</b>	Species:	each	
	REFERTO GUIDA	ANCE NOTE	FOR ALL D	EFINITIONS		
	ity <u>assessment</u> & suitability for TPO; where tre	es in good	or fair cond	ition have poor	form, dedu	ct 1 point
, ,	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be suitable / dangerous* Unsuitable ing context and is intended to apply to se	U	· group.	Good condito to supression	tion, but no by ne	t one-sided
	span (in years) & suitability for		ne dejects only			
	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable Unsuitable hich are an existing or near future nuisan		ore & Notes	rowing their context	, or which are s	ignificantly negating the
Relative pu	ublic visibility & suitability for Topotential for future visibility with change					
Very large tre Large trees, o Medium tree Young, small,	ees with some visibility, or prominen or medium trees clearly visible to the es, or large trees with limited view or or medium/large trees visible only ible to the public, regardless of size	t large trees e public nly	y	Highly suitable Suitable Suitable Barely suitable Probably unsuitab	Prov	ore & Notes from ad I and housing, The from wed
Other fact	ors ccrued 7 or more points (with no zero scor	re) to qualify			loe	el area.
Tree groups, Trees with id Trees of part	nponents of arboricultural features, or members of groups important for lentifiable historic, commemorative of icularly good form, especially if rare one of the above additional redeeming	their cohesior habitat impor unusual	on oortance		vuing a	of beech prominent e.
-	iency assessment ccrued 9 or more points to qualify					
Immediate the Foreseeable to Perceived the Precautionar	threat to tree reat to tree		Score & No	tes Planing	enging tree.	regarding don
art 3: Decisi	on guide					
Any 0 1-6 7-11 12-15	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible		Add Scores	for Total:	Decision	

Page 34

16+

 $Definitely\ merits\ TPO$